

Nodal Superconducting Gap in β -FeS

Jie Xing, Hai Lin, Yufeng Li, Sheng Li, Xiyu Zhu, Huan Yang and Hai-Hu Wen*

*National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures and Department of Physics,
Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China*

Low temperature specific heat has been measured in superconductor β -FeS with $T_c = 4.55$ K. It is found that the low temperature electronic specific heat C_e/T can be fitted to a linear relation in the low temperature region, but fails to be described by an exponential relation as expected by an s-wave gap. We try fittings to the data with different gap structures and find that a model with one or two nodal gaps can fit the data. Under a magnetic field, the field induced specific heat coefficient $\Delta\gamma_e = [C_e(H) - C_e(0)]/T$ shows the Volovik relation $\Delta\gamma_e(H) \propto \sqrt{H}$, suggesting the presence of nodal gap(s) in this material.

Subject Areas: Condensed Matter Physics, Superconductivity

I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of superconductivity in iron pnictides has opened a new era for the study on unconventional superconductors[1]. Until now, superconductivity has been found in several relatives of the FeAs-based superconductors, including the FeSe-based families and the iron phosphides[2–4]. In either FeAs-based or FeSe-based compounds, the superconducting transition temperature can be raised to much higher than 40 K[5, 6], the so-called McMillan limit[7]. This allows us to categorize the iron based superconductors as an unconventional superconductor with the pairing mechanism beyond the electron-phonon coupling. In the research on the iron based superconductors, one of the core issues is about the superconducting pairing mechanism. Early on, theoretical pictures concerning the $s^\pm$ pairing gap was proposed[8, 9], this model has got many experimental supports[10–12] and is specially satisfied by the systems with the presence of both electron and hole pockets. Recently, superconductivity has been reported[13] in β -FeS which has an isostructure of the β -FeSe superconductor[14]. Earlier band structure calculations have revealed that the electronic structures of the FeSe and FeS systems are quite similar to each other[15]. Usually the p -orbital of the element sulfur has a narrower bandwidth comparing with that of selenium. Therefore, it is interesting to know the superconducting gap structure and pairing mechanism in this newly discovered superconductor.

Superconductivity is induced by the condensation of Cooper pairs formed by electron-boson interactions (or strong local magnetic superexchange), such as electron-phonon coupling in the Bardeen-Copper-Schrieffer (BCS) theory. The superconducting gap $\Delta(k)$ that protects the superconducting condensate from exciting the quasiparticles is momentum dependent and is intimately related to the underlying pairing interactions. A d -wave gap has been observed in cuprates in revealing the unconventional mechanism[16]. While accidental nodes are sometimes observed in iron pnictide superconductors reflecting a basic feature of the extended s-wave[8, 9, 17]. At the gap nodes, the magnitude of a superconducting gap is zero and the signs are opposite at two sides of the nodal point.




FIG. 1. (color online) Temperature dependence of resistivity at zero magnetic field. Upper left inset: Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility measured in the zero-field-cooled mode (ZFC) and the field-cooled mode (FC) at $H = 5$ Oe. Lower right inset: The enlarged view of resistivity for FeS from 2K to 10K.

The nodal gaps, if enforced by symmetry, would suggest a pairing mechanism with repulsive interactions, such as that in the cuprates. Therefore to determine the gap structures and know whether the gap is nodal or nodeless is an effective method to check or even prove the mechanism of superconductivity. Theoretically it is understood that the low-energy quasi-particle (QP) excitations for nodal gap superconductors is much stronger than that of the convention superconductors with s-wave gaps and the magnetic field induced generation of QP density of states (DOS) has very different field dependence. Since the discovery of iron-based superconductors, nodal gap(s) were suggested or indicated in several superconducting systems, such as LaFePO[18], BaFe₂As_{2-x}P_x[19], and KFe₂As₂[20–22]. Although early works about the specific heat and thermal conductivity in FeSe suggested multiple nodeless gaps[23, 24], the recent STM works on FeSe single crystal and FeSe film supported that there are line nodes in those samples[26, 27].

In this paper, we report the investigations on low tem-

perature specific heat in β -FeS crystals. A linear relationship between C_e/T and T is observed in the low temperature limit, this is deviating from the exponential relation expected for s-wave gaps and indicates nodal superconducting gap(s). It is further found that the fitting to the electronic specific heat by a model with two nodal gaps is better than that by adopting either a single s- or d-wave gaps or a mixture of s-wave and d-wave gaps. Furthermore, magnetic field induced electronic specific heat shows consistency with the Volovik relation $\Delta\gamma_e(H) \propto \sqrt{H}$ approximately[28], which was predicted specially for *d*-wave superconductors with line nodes. The analysis of low temperature specific heat reveals the presence of nodal superconducting gap(s) in this system, which is in accordance with the recent heat transport experiment[29].

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

The FeS crystals were fabricated by a hydrothermal method which has been presented before[30]. The resistivity and low temperature specific heat were measured with a Quantum Design instrument PPMS-16T, and the magnetization was detected by a Quantum Design instrument SQUID-VSM. The specific heat measurements were done with the thermal relaxation method by He3 accessory of PPMS-16T with an advanced single crystal quartz platform which has a quite weak magnetic field effect. All the data in this paper were measured on samples from the same batch FeS crystals.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 1 shows the temperature dependence of resistivity at zero field. As can be seen in the lower right inset, a sharp resistive transition occurs with the onset transition temperature of 4.55 K, and the zero resistivity appears at about 4.15 K. The normal state resistivity shows a monotonous decreasing with temperature from 300K to 4.55K, which indicates metallic conductivity. The residual resistivity ratio (RRR) defined as $\rho(300K)/\rho(0K)$ is about 60, indicating a good quality of the sample. This result is similar to the former reported value[30]. The upper left inset shows the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility measured in the zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and the field-cooled (FC) mode. A rough estimate on the magnetic signal yields a full superconducting screening volume. At an external magnetic field of 50e the diamagnetic transition starts near 4.5K, which is in accordance with the resistivity measurement very well.

The raw data of specific heat at 0T and 0.75T are shown in Fig. 2. An obvious superconducting anomaly appears at about 4.5K, which is also consistent with the resistive and magnetization data. The residual specific heat coefficient γ_0 at 0K and zero external magnetic field is about $1.1mJ/molK^2$. Although this value is rather




FIG. 2. (color online) Raw data of the temperature dependence of specific heat for FeS crystals at 0T (open square) and 0.75T (open circles). The red solid line is the normal state fitting curve by using the formula $C/T = \gamma_n + \beta T^2 + \eta T^4$.

low, but comparing with the normal state Sommerfeld constant γ_n , it is quite sizable. As the magnetic field is increased to 0.75T, the superconducting anomaly is already suppressed to below 0.4K and the extracted γ_n is about $3.8 \pm 0.2 mJ/molK^2$. We try to fit the normal state specific heat data with the Debye model in low temperature region, however, the results hardly match the data well if using only the term $C_{ph}/T = \beta T^2$. We thus use the following model that contains three terms to fit the data from 0.4K to 15K. The fitting formula reads

$$C/T = \gamma_n + \beta T^2 + \eta T^4. \quad (1)$$

The fitting curve as shown by the solid line in Fig.2 is better than the simple model and yields parameters $\gamma_n = 3.8mJ/molK^2$, $\beta = 0.39mJ/molK^4$, and $\eta = -0.00053mJ/molK^6$. Using the relation between Debye temperature Θ_D and the fitting parameter β , $\Theta_D = (12\pi^4 k_B N_A Z / 5\beta)^{1/3}$, where $N_A = 6.02 \times 10^{23} mol^{-1}$ is the Avogadro constant, $Z = 2$ is the number of atoms in one unit cell, we can calculate the Debye temperature of FeS, which is about 215K. The Debye temperature derived here for FeS is close to $\Theta_D = 210K$ obtained previously for FeSe single crystals[23]. The γ_n seems to be smaller than that of other iron-based superconductors, for example, in FeSe single crystals $\gamma_n = 5.73 \pm 0.19 mJ/molK^2$ [23]. According to the density functional calculations[15], it is found that the DOS in FeSe and FeS are quite close to each other. The smaller γ_n finds here may suggest weaker electron-boson coupling or correlation effect in FeS. We present the specific heat under different magnetic fields in Fig. 3(a). By applying several magnetic fields up to 0.75T, the superconducting anomaly shifts to lower temperatures gradually and is hardly observed above 0.4K when the magnetic field is over 0.75T.

The superconducting electronic contribution can be




FIG. 3. (color online) (a) Temperature dependence of specific heat at different magnetic fields ranging from 0T to 0.75T. (b) The electronic specific heat of FeS crystals. The solid lines represent the fitting curves using the BCS formula by assuming four different gap(s): (1) single s-wave gap $\Delta(T, \theta) = \Delta_0(T)$; (2) single anisotropic gap with d-wave feature $\Delta(T, \theta) = \Delta_0(T)\cos 2\theta$; (3) mixture of two components with one s-wave and one d-wave; (4) mixture of two d-wave gaps. The inset shows the electronic specific heat coefficient C_e/T vs. T below 2K. The solid line shows a fit to the data and indicates a linear relation between C_e/T and T .

obtained by deducting the phonon contribution C_{ph} below T_c . From Fig. 3 it is clear that the normal state specific heat does not depend on the magnetic field. Since a weak magnetic field 0.75T is enough to suppress the superconductivity in FeS, we can obtain the electronic specific heat through formula $C_e = C(0T) - C(0.75T)$. The electronic specific coefficient C_e derived in this way is shown in Fig. 3(b). It is found that the superconducting anomaly is not high, although the transition is rather sharp. Considering the entropy conservation near the superconducting transition, we determined the superconducting transition temperature $T_c = 4.3K$ from the the specific heat, and the jump $\Delta C/T_c$ is $2.47mJ/molK^2$. This leads to the ratio $\Delta C/\gamma_n T_c \approx 0.65$. The value is much lower than the predicted one by the BCS theory in the weak coupling regime which gives $\Delta C/\gamma_n T_c = 1.43$. This is also rather lower than 1.65 obtained for FeSe single crystals[23]. The specific heat anomaly in FeS is much lower than that in KFe_2As_2 although the transi-

tion temperature are close to each other[25]. This value of $\Delta C/\gamma_n T_c |_{T_c}$ reveals that FeS system may be a superconductor with rather weak electron-boson coupling and correlation effect. In KFe_2As_2 the Hund's rule coupling becomes stronger, this is assumed to be the origin of the correlation effect[25]. One can see that a tail appears in the specific heat data above T_c , which may be caused by the superconducting fluctuation. The inset of Fig. 3(b) shows the enlarge view of the low temperature specific heat data by fitting to a straight line. Interestingly, the electronic specific heat coefficient C_e/T varies linearly with temperature in the low temperature regime. This relation is deviated from an exponential dependence as expected for an s-wave gap and can be explained by models containing nodal gaps. To investigate the details concerning superconducting gap(s), we use the BCS formula to fit our electronic specific heat data in the superconducting state

$$\gamma_e = \frac{4N(E_F)}{k_B T^3} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{\zeta/k_B T}}{(1 + e^{\zeta/k_B T})^2} (\varepsilon^2 + \Delta^2(\theta, T) - \frac{T}{2} \frac{d\Delta^2(\theta, T)}{dT}) d\theta d\varepsilon, \quad (2)$$

where $\zeta = \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \Delta^2(T, \theta)}$. We try to use four different gap structures to fit the electronic specific heat data: (1) single s-wave gap $\Delta(T, \theta) = \Delta_0(T)$; (2) single anisotropic gap with d-wave feature $\Delta(T, \theta) = \Delta_0(T)\cos 2\theta$; (3) mixture of two components with one s-wave and one d-wave gap; (4) mixture of two d-wave gaps. If more than one gap is concerned in the calculation, a linear combination of the two components is used to get the electronic specific heat, namely $\gamma_e = p\gamma_e(\Delta_1) + (1 - p)\gamma_e(\Delta_2)$ with p the fraction contributed by the component of Δ_1 . The fitting parameters are listed in table 1. In Fig. 3(b) we can see that a simple s-wave or d-wave can not fit the data, although the fitting with a single d-wave gap is better. A model with the mixture of two d-wave gaps, as shown by the black solid line, gives the best fit, albeit a slight deviation in the low temperature region. The model with a mixture of s-wave and d-wave, but with large fraction of d-wave component could also describe the data roughly. The detailed fitting reveals that there should be anisotropic gaps with nodes in the FeS system. From previous studies in FeSe[23], it was shown that the electronic specific heat could be described by a model with one anisotropic s-wave component, but with no sign of nodes in FeSe. However, recent scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) measurements on FeSe crystals[27] or thick film[26] show that line nodes exist in this system. These different gap structures of FeSe need more time to be resolved. To make the conclusion more convincing, we also derived the magnetic field dependence of γ_e . The $\gamma_e(H)$ is obtained by extending the low temperature specific heat to 0K at different magnetic fields. Fig. 4 shows the relation between $\Delta\gamma_e = C(H)/T - C(0)/T = \gamma_e(H) - \gamma_e(0T)$ and mag-




FIG. 4. (color online) The magnetic field dependence of the field induced electronic specific heat $\Delta\gamma_e(H)$. The nonlinear field dependence is very clear. The blue line is a fit to the Volovik relation $\Delta\gamma_e = A\sqrt{H}$.

TABLE I. Fitting parameters with different models for FeS

model	Δ_1 (meV)	p	Δ_2 (meV)	1-p
<i>s</i> - wave	0.5	100%	-	-
<i>d</i> - wave	0.6	100%	-	-
<i>s</i> - wave + <i>d</i> - wave	0.6(s)	23%	0.5(d)	77%
two <i>d</i> - wave	0.6	91%	1.0	9%

netic field at $T = 0\text{K}$. It is clear that $\Delta\gamma_e(H)$ is close to the Volovik relation, namely $\Delta\gamma_e(H) \propto \sqrt{H}$, which indicates again the presence of gap nodes. Actually the observations of $\gamma_e(T) \propto T$ and $\Delta\gamma_e(H) \propto \sqrt{H}$ present self-consistent evidence for the existence of line nodes, which gives a further enforcement on the gap structure comparing with the earlier thermal transport measurement[29]. We should emphasize, however, that we could not distinguish whether the gap nodes are induced by the sign change of the gap, like in a *d*-wave case, or it is induced by the accidental nodes as theoretically predicted for the FeAs- and FeSe- based superconductors[17]. This needs to be resolved by more investigations.

In iron-based superconductors a power law correlation $\Delta C|_{T_c} \propto T_c^3$, named as the BNC relation, was discovered for many iron based superconductors[31]. In addition, another relation $U_0 \propto T_c^n$ between condensation energy U_0 and superconducting transition temperature T_c was also reported[32] with $n \approx 3.5 \pm 0.5$, which was explained by the quantum critical phenomenon[33]. So this inspires us to investigate whether the new superconductor FeS is also satisfied with these relations. Interestingly we find that the power law relation $\Delta C|_{T_c} \propto T_c^3$ is roughly obeyed if we put the data of FeS on the general plot. From the electronic specific heat data of FeS, we calculate the condensation energy through integrating the entropy of superconducting state and normal state by the formula below




FIG. 5. (color online) Correlations between the condensation energy and T_c in FeS and several iron based systems. The condensation energy is calculated through integrating the entropy in the superconducting state.

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_0 &= \int_0^{T_c} (S_n(T) - S_s(T)) dT \\
 &= \int_0^{T_c} dT \int_0^T (C_n(T') - C_s(T')) / T' dT'. \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

The calculated condensation energy of FeS is about 7.6mJ/mol. In Fig. 5 we present the condensation energy of FeS and other iron-based superconductors measured previously in our group[32] together with that of element La. Intriguingly, the data of FeS falls into the power law relation perfectly. This may hint on that the FeS superconductor has similar mechanism as other FeAs- or FeSe-based superconductors. However, both the specific heat anomaly and $H_{c2}(0)$ in FeS are much lower than that in FeSe crystals[23]. We must point out that either the BNC scaling[31] or the relation between the condensation energy and T_c [32] do not apply to the KFe_2As_2 since it has a very large specific heat anomaly, which may suggest a strong renormalization of electron mass in that system[25]. In order to have comparison with a conventional superconductor, we also calculate the condensation energy of element La with $T_c = 5\text{K}$ which is similar to that of FeS[34]. Although the T_c of La is slightly higher than FeS, the condensation energy of La is about 54mJ/mol, which is almost 710% of FeS. Therefore the data of La element deviates clearly from the power law that is satisfied by many iron based superconductors. This may suggest the different mechanism of the iron based superconductors and the conventional metal superconductors.

IV. SUMMARY

In summary, we have successfully grown the crystals of the newly discovered superconductor β -FeS and measured the low temperature specific heat. The electronic specific heat was obtained by removing the phonon contribution. A linear relation between the electronic specific heat and temperature was found in the low temperature region. By fitting to the theoretical models with different gap structures, we find that the model with nodal gap(s) fits the data best. The magnetic field induced specific heat shows the consistency with the Volovik rela-

tion $\Delta\gamma_e \propto \sqrt{H}$. All these suggest the existence of nodes on the gap structure. Our results will stimulate the investigations on the superconductor β -FeS and helps to resolve the intimate superconductivity mechanism in all iron based superconductors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate the discussions with S. Y. Li. This work is supported by the NSF of China, the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (973 projects: 2011CBA00102, 2012CB821403, 2010CB923002), and PAPD.

* hhwen@nju.edu.cn

-
- [1] Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, *Iron-Based Layered Superconductor $\text{La}(\text{O}_{1-x}\text{F}_x)\text{FeAs}$ ($x=0.05-0.12$) with $T_c=26$ K*, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **130**, 3296 (2008).
 - [2] G. R. Stewart, *Superconductivity in iron compounds*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 1589(2011)
 - [3] J. Paglione and R. Greene, *High-temperature superconductivity in iron-based materials*, Nat. Phys, **6**, 645(2010).
 - [4] H. H. Wen and S. Li, *Materials and novel superconductivity in iron pnictide superconductors*, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **2**, 121 (2011).
 - [5] Z. Ren, W. Lu, J. Yang, W. Yi, X. Shen, Z. Li, G. Che, X. Dong, L. Sun, F. Zhou, Z. X. Zhao, *Superconductivity at 55 K in iron-based F-doped layered quaternary compound $\text{Sm}(\text{O}_{1-x}\text{F}_x)\text{FeAs}$* , Chin. Phys. Lett. **25**, 2215 (2008)
 - [6] L. Sun, X. Chen, J. Guo, P. Gao, Q. Huang, H. Wang, M. Fang, X. Chen, G. Chen, Q. Wu, C. Zhang, D. Gu, X. Dong, L. Wang, K. Yang, A. Li, X. Dai, Ho-kwang Ma and Z. Zhao, *Re-emerging superconductivity at 48 kelvin in iron chalcogenides*, Nature **483**, 6 (2012).
 - [7] W. L. McMillan, *Transition Temperature of Strong-Coupled Superconductors*, Phys. Rev. **167**, 331 (1968).
 - [8] I. I. Mazin, D. J. Singh, M. D. Johannes, and M. H. Du, *Unconventional Superconductivity with a Sign Reversal in the Order Parameter of $\text{LaFeAsO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 057003 (2008).
 - [9] K. Kuroki, S. Onari, R. Arita, H. Usui, Y. Tanaka, H. Kontani, and H. Aoki, *Unconventional Pairing Originating from the Disconnected Fermi Surfaces of Superconducting $\text{LaFeAsO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 087004 (2008).
 - [10] T. Hanaguri, S. Niitaka, K. Kuroki and H. Takagi, *Unconventional s-wave superconductivity in $\text{Fe}(\text{Se},\text{Te})$* , Science **328**, 474(2010).
 - [11] A. Christianson, E. Goremychkin, R. Osborn, S. Rosenkranz, M. Lumsden, C. Malliakas, I. Todorov, H. Claus, D. Chung, M. Kanatzidis, R. Bewley and T. Guidi, *Unconventional superconductivity in $\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ from inelastic neutron scattering*, Nature **456**, 930 (2008).
 - [12] H. Yang, Z. Wang, D. Fang, Q. Deng, Qiang-Hua Wang, Y. Xiang, Y. Yang and Hai-Hu Wen, *In-gap quasiparticle excitations induced by non-magnetic Cu impurities in $\text{Na}(\text{Fe}_{0.96}\text{Co}_{0.03}\text{Cu}_{0.01})\text{As}$ revealed by scanning tunnelling spectroscopy*, Nature Communication **4**, 2749 (2013).
 - [13] X. Lai, H. Zhang, Y. Wang, X. Wang, X. Zhang, J. Lin, and F. Huang, *Observation of Superconductivity in Tetragonal FeS* , J. Am. Chem. Soc. **137**, 10148 (2015).
 - [14] F. Hsu, J. Luo, K. Yeh, T. Chen, T. Huang, P. Wu, Y. Lee, Y. Huang, Y. Chu, D. Yan and Maw-Kuen Wu, *Superconductivity in the PbO -type structure - FeSe* , PNAS **105**, 14262(2008).
 - [15] L. Zhang, D. J. Singh, and M. H. Du, *Density functional study of FeS , FeSe , and FeTe : Electronic structure, magnetism, phonons, and superconductivity*, Phys. Rev. B. **78**, 134514 (2015)
 - [16] C. Tsuei and J. Kirtley, *Pairing symmetry in cuprate superconductors*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 969 (2000).
 - [17] P. Hirschfeld, M. Korshunov and I. Mazin, *Gap symmetry and structure of Fe-based superconductors*, Reports on Progress in Physics, **74**, 124508 (2011).
 - [18] J. D. Fletcher, A. Serafin, L. Malone, J. G. Analytis, J.-H. Chu, A. S. Erickson, I. R. Fisher, and A. Carrington, *Evidence for a Nodal-Line Superconducting State in LaFePO* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 147001(2009).
 - [19] Y. Zhang, Z. R. Ye, Q. Q. Ge, J. Chen, F. Jiang, M. Xu, B. P. Xie, and D. L. Feng, *Nodal superconducting-gap structure in ferropnictide superconductor $\text{BaFe}_2(\text{As}_{0.7}\text{P}_{0.3})_2$* , Nat. Phys **8**, 371 (2012).
 - [20] K. Okazaki, Y. Ota, Y. Kotani, W. Malaeb, Y. Ishida, T. Shimojima, T. Kiss, S. Watanabe, C.-T. Chen, K. Kihou, C. H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, T. Saito, H. Fukazawa, Y. Kohori, K. Hashimoto, T. Shibauchi, Y. Matsuda, H. Ikeda, H. Miyahara, R. Arita, A. Chainani, S. Shin, *Octet-line node structure of superconducting order parameter in KFe_2As_2* , Science, **337**, 1314-1317 (2012).
 - [21] H. Fukazawa, Y. Yamada, K. Kondo, T. Saito, Y. Kohori, K. Kuga, Y. Matsumoto, S. Nakatsuji, H. Kito, P. M. Shirage, K. Kihou, N. Takeshita, C.-H. Lee, A. Iyo, and H. Eisaki, *Possible Multiple Gap Superconductivity with Line Nodes in Heavily Hole-Doped Superconductor KFe_2As_2 Studied by 75As Nuclear Quadrupole Resonance and Specific Heat*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78**, 083712 (2009).
 - [22] K. Hashimoto, A. Serafin, S. Tonegawa, R. Katsumata, R. Okazaki, T. Saito, H. Fukazawa, Y. Kohori, K. Kihou, C. H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, H. Ikeda, Y. Mat-

- suda, A. Carrington, and T. Shibauchi, *Evidence for superconducting gap nodes in the zone-centered hole bands of KFe_2As_2 from magnetic penetration-depth measurements*, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 014526 (2010).
- [23] J.-Y. Lin, Y. S. Hsieh, D. A. Chareev, A. N. Vasiliev, Y. Parsons, and H. D. Yang, *Coexistence of isotropic and extended s -wave order parameters in $FeSe$ as revealed by low-temperature specific heat*, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 220507(R) (2011).
- [24] J. K. Dong, T. Y. Guan, S. Y. Zhou, X. Qiu, L. Ding, C. Zhang, U. Patel, Z. L. Xiao, and S. Y. Li, *Multigap nodeless superconductivity in $FeSe_x$: Evidence from quasiparticle heat transport*, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 024518 (2009).
- [25] F. Hardy, A. Böhm, D. Aoki, P. Burger, T. Wolf, P. Schweiss, R. Heid, P. Adelmann, Y. Yao, G. Kotliar, J. Schmalian, and C. Meingast, *Evidence of Strong Correlations and Coherence-Incoherence Crossover in the Iron Pnictide Superconductor KFe_2As_2* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 027002 (2013).
- [26] C.-L. Song, Y.-L. Wang, P. Cheng, Y.-P. Jiang, W. Li, T. Zhang, Z. Li, K. He, L. Wang, J.-F. Jia, H.-H. Hung, C. Wu, X. Ma, X. Chen, Q.-K. Xue, *Direct Observation of Nodes and Twofold Symmetry in $FeSe$ Superconductor*, Science, 332, 1410 (2011).
- [27] T. Watashige, Y. Tsutsumi, T. Hanaguri, Y. Kohsaka, S. Kasahara, A. Furusaki, M. Sigrist, C. Meingast, T. Wolf, H.v.Lihneysen, T. Shibauchi, and Y. Matsuda, *Evidence for Time-Reversal Symmetry Breaking of the Superconducting State near Twin-Boundary Interfaces in $FeSe$ Revealed by Scanning Tunneling Spectroscopy*, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 031022 (2015).
- [28] G. E. Volovik, *Superconductivity with lines of gap nodes: density of states in the vortex*, JETP LETTERS **58**, 469 (1993).
- [29] T. P. Ying, X. F. Lai, X. C. Hong, Y. Xu, L. P. He, J. Zhang, M. X. Wang, Y. J. Yu, F. Q. Huang, and S. Y. Li, *Nodal superconductivity in FeS : Evidence from quasiparticle heat transport*, arXiv:1511.07717 (2015).
- [30] H. Lin, Y. Li, J. Xing, J. Liu, X. Zhu, H. Yang, Hai-Hu Wen, *Multi-band superconductivity and large anisotropy in FeS crystals*, arXiv:1511.08716 (2015).
- [31] S. L. Bud'ko, N. Ni, P. C. Canfield, *Jump in specific heat at the superconducting transition temperature in $Ba(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)_2As_2$ and $Ba(Fe_{1-x}Ni_x)_2As_2$ single crystals*, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 220516(2009).
- [32] J. Xing, S. Li, B. Zeng, G. Mu, B. Shen, J. Schneeloch, R. D. Zhong, T. S. Liu, G. D. Gu, and Hai-Hu Wen, *Power-law-like correlation between condensation energy and superconducting transition temperatures in iron pnictide/chalcogenide superconductors: Beyond the BCS understanding*, Phys. Rev. B, **89**, 140503(R) (2014).
- [33] J. Zaanen, *Specific-heat jump at the superconducting transition and the quantum critical nature of the normal state of pnictide superconductors*, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 212502(2009).
- [34] P. Pan, D. Finnemore, A. Bevelo, H. Shanks, B. Beaudry, F. Schmidt and G. Danielson, *Heat capacity of high-purity lanthanum*, Phys. Rev. B **21**, 2809(1980).